SHASTA LAFCO

CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION/REORGANIZATION APPLICATION

This application must be completed in full and submitted with any petition or resolution of application to LAFCO for a change of organization or reorganization made pursuant to Government Code Section 56000 et seq.

involves more than one con another), check the appropr	current change (i.e., annexation to one agency, detachment from iate "reorganization" box.
Annexation only to Affected city or distr	a city or special district
Detachment only from Affected city or distri	om a city or special district
\square Reorganization by c	oncurrent annexation to city, detachment from district(s)
Reorganization by confidence Affected districts:	strict(s): oncurrent annexation to district, detachment from district
☐ Formation of special	
Dissolution of special	CSD, CSA, FPD):
Name of district:	rice Extension - City of Anderson
Sphere of Influence: If the	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be
annexed within the sphere of there must be a concurrent sp. Tax Exchange Agreement: Is No. If yes, see Shasta LA	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Yes No. If no, where of influence amendment action. Is the proposal subject to a tax exchange agreement? Yes AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for
Tax Exchange Agreement: Is \(\sum \) No. If yes, see Shasta LA compliance information. Public Agencies in Proposa whose current jurisdictional	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Ves No. If no, where of influence amendment action.
Tax Exchange Agreement: Is \(\sum \) No. If yes, see Shasta LA compliance information. Public Agencies in Proposa whose current jurisdictional	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Yes No. If no, where of influence amendment action. If the proposal subject to a tax exchange agreement? Yes AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for the AFCO's agencies - including school districts - boundary or sphere of influence boundary falls within the
Tax Exchange Agreement: Is No. If yes, see Shasta LA compliance information. Public Agencies in Proposa whose current jurisdictional proposal area. Also note the	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Yes No. If no, where of influence amendment action. If the proposal subject to a tax exchange agreement? Yes AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for boundary or sphere of influence boundary falls within the effect on the proposal on each agency.
Tax Exchange Agreement: Is ✓ No. If yes, see Shasta Lacompliance information. Public Agencies in Proposa whose current jurisdictional proposal area. Also note the Public Agency	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Yes No. If no, where of influence amendment action. If the proposal subject to a tax exchange agreement? Yes AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for a large in the proposal public agencies — including school districts — boundary or sphere of influence boundary falls within the effect on the proposal on each agency. Effect of Proposal (i.e. annex, detach, no change)
annexed within the sphere of there must be a concurrent sp. Tax Exchange Agreement: Is No. If yes, see Shasta LA compliance information. Public Agencies in Proposa whose current jurisdictional proposal area. Also note the Public Agency Shasta County	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Yes No. If no, where of influence amendment action. Is the proposal subject to a tax exchange agreement? Yes AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for a large List all public agencies - including school districts - boundary or sphere of influence boundary falls within the effect on the proposal on each agency. Effect of Proposal (i.e. annex, detach, no change) No Change
Tax Exchange Agreement: Is No. If yes, see Shasta LA compliance information. Public Agencies in Proposa whose current jurisdictional proposal area. Also note the Public Agency Shasta County City of Anderson	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Yes No. If no, where of influence amendment action. Is the proposal subject to a tax exchange agreement? Yes AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for a larea: List all public agencies – including school districts – boundary or sphere of influence boundary falls within the effect on the proposal on each agency. Effect of Proposal (i.e. annex, detach, no change) No Change Annex for water service only
Tax Exchange Agreement: Is No. If yes, see Shasta LA compliance information. Public Agencies in Proposa whose current jurisdictional proposal area. Also note the Public Agency Shasta County City of Anderson Anderson Fire Protection District	proposal is for annexation to a city or district, is the area to be of influence of the affected city or district? Yes No. If no, where of influence amendment action. If the proposal subject to a tax exchange agreement? Yes AFCO's "Application Standards & Document Requirements for a large List all public agencies - including school districts - boundary or sphere of influence boundary falls within the effect on the proposal on each agency. Effect of Proposal (i.e. annex, detach, no change) No Change Annex for water service only No Change

6. Characteristics of Affected Area: Insert totals and *check data source*.

	Total #	Assessor/ Auditor	Elections Dept.	Field Survey	Other Source: (Name)
Acres	2.01				Agreement with SPI, Attached
Parcels	1				Agreement with SPI, Attached
Inhabitants	0				
Registered Voters	0				
Dwelling Units	0		30	3015	
Commercial Units	0				
Industrial Units	0				
Assessed Value: Land	TBD				
Assessed Value: Improvements	TBD				
Total Assessed Value	TBD				

Industrial Units					1			
	0							
Assessed Value: Land	TBD							
Assessed Value:								
Improvements	TBD							
Total Assessed Value	TBD	1						
General Location of Property of Property of Property frontage is on Ox Yoke Road, and adjace	nd inters	ections, r	nearest oximatel	city or y 200-fee	district	bound	lary, a	and/or ot
•								
development character that influence land use a	and devel	opment.						
Currently the parcel is under Industries for agricultural purpo						. owner, v		
	t: Summa	ary statem	nent of	propose to begin	ed develon and/or	opment be com	in the	e area, if and:
Proposed Developmen and a statement of when The parcel is proposed to b	t: Summan developed	ary statem ment is ex d as a fire s	esignation nent of pected tation ar	propose to begin	ed develon and/or	opment be com FIRE. C	in the	e area, if and:
Industries for agricultural purpo Proposed Developmen and a statement of when	t: Summan developed	ary statem ment is ex d as a fire s	esignation nent of pected tation ar	propose to begin	ed develon and/or	opment be com FIRE. C	in the	e area, if and:
Proposed Developmen and a statement of when The parcel is proposed to b	t: Summan developed art June, 20 ng Design	ary statem ment is ex d as a fire s 021, and sho	nent of pected tation arould be	propose to begin ad manne complete	ed develon and/ord by CAL Shasta Catotal acre	opment be com FIRE. Co., 2022. County	in the apleted onstructions on the construction of the constructio	e area, if and it enter the enter th
Proposed Development and a statement of when The parcel is proposed to be fire station is expected to state the parcel Plan and Zoniuse and zoning designation.	t: Summan developed art June, 20 ng Design tions apples. Attach	ary statem ment is ex d as a fire s 021, and sho	nent of pected tation are ould be area, a pwing t	propose to begin nd manne complete current and the	ed develon and/ord by CAL Shasta Catotal acre	opment be com FIRE. Co , 2022. County es under designa	in the apleted onstructions.	e area, if and it enter the enter th

11. **Pre-Zoning:** For proposals to *annex territory to a city*, §56375 requires that the annexation area be "pre-zoned". Please list zoning designations that will be applied by the city after

Change (of Orga	nization	Application	
Page 3 o				

	esignation	No. of Acres
summary and/or do for the propo	sons for Proposal: An agency resolution of application to recital of the chief reasons for the proposal (such as the velopers to acquire agency services). In the space below, posal. Identify alternatives and explain why the alternatial. Anderson has received a request from Shasta County, to extend was	e desire by landowr discuss the chief reas ves are not as feasible
	ater supply for a Fire Station.	·
provide a there are a Reference,	est terms and conditions on LAFCO's approval. In the expanded discussion of the terms and conditions reques to terms and conditions, insert "None Requested". "CITY OF ANDERSON AGREEMENT TO EXTEND UTILITY SERVICES Exhibit "X".	sted in the resolution
D 1	Modifications: LAFCO is authorized to modify the bouexclude territory. In the section below, explain how the plant the alternatives considered, and what the impacts would	proposed boundary v
include or determine modify th would vis	e boundary. Attach any maps that would support the tally depict the impacts of any boundary modification. (<i>Totalysis; please provide a complete response.</i>)	proposed boundary

- An enumeration and description of the services to be extended to the affected territory.
- The level and range of those services.

includes the following information:

- An indication of when those services can feasibly be extended to the affected territory.
- An indication of any improvement or upgrading of structures, roads, sewer or water facilities, or other conditions the local agency would impose or require within the affected territory if the change of organization or reorganization is completed.

Information with respect to how those services will be financed.

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Service	Current	Method to	To be	If Yes to	If Yes to (D),
	Provider	Finance	Provided	(D), Method	Estimated
	(e.g. County,	Current	by this	to Finance?	Date Service
	Special District,	Service	Proposal?	(See Key)	Available
	Private, None)	(See Key)	(Yes or No)		
Law Enforcement	County	0	No		
Fire Protection	County/Anderson	0	No		
Streets/Traffic	County	0	No		
Water	None	SC	Yes	0	August 2021
Sewer	None	0	No		
Power	None	0	No		
Solid Waste	None	0	No		
Storm Drains	None	0	No		

Ke	y: O=Owner; SC=Service Charges; AD=A	ssessment District; DA=Developer Agreement
wate fluid regio abilit space in th	er supplies adequate for projected needs water itself (entitlements) and infrast anal transmission, and local distribution ty of the agency to provide water to bo	CO must now consider, "Timely availability of water supply includes the tructure (treatment capacity, storage capacity a systems). Adequacy of water also means the the existing and proposed development. In the idequacy in the context of the proposal set forth
-		
Sphe	ere of Influence Amendment as a Separa	ate or Concurrent Action:
A.	sphere of influence, city and county pertaining to the proposed sphere	application by a city for amendment of a city representatives are required to meet on matters change. (See §56425(b) for specifics.) This prior to submitting an application to LAFCO., complete the following:
	Date(s) of City/County Meeting(s):	No change to the existing Sphere of Influance
	City Attendees (Name/Position):	
	County Attendees (Name/Position):	

agreement with respect to the proposed sphere of influence boundaries, and

development standards and zoning requirements within the proposed sphere. (If an agreement *was* adopted, attach a copy.)

В.	either bound	Il applications requesting amendment of a local agency sphere of influence, as a single proposal or as a concurrent amendment associated with a proposed lary change, the applicant is to attach a comprehensive narrative description is scussion of each of the following statutory factors:
		The present and planned land uses in the area to be included in the sphere, including agricultural and open-space lands.
		The present and probable need for public facilities and services in the area.
		The present capacity of public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.
		The existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area.
	relatio	and exhibits depicting the proposed sphere amendment boundary, and the nship of the proposed sphere boundary to other adjacent sphere boundaries

relationship of the proposed sphere boundary to other adjacent sphere boundaries are also to be submitted. As well, it would be helpful to plot on the current sphere boundary map the annexations that have occurred over the past ten years so as to demonstrate agency growth.

If there are any questions with respect to the sphere of influence amendment requirements, applicants are encouraged to contact LAFCO staff *before* preparing the required documentation.

18. Evaluation of Landowner Consent: For applications submitted by a local agency, list each Assessors Parcel included in the proposal, the number of acres per parcel, the current parcel owner(s), the parcel address, mailing address (if different), whether or not there is a dwelling unit or units on the parcel, number of resident registered voters, and check whether the landowner and/or registered voter has submitted a letter in support of the proposal, or opposed, or has not responded. Attach copies of all support or opposition letters. If necessary, continue the list on a separate sheet and attach it immediately following this page. (Example shown in *italics*.)

	Assessors Parcel No.	No. Acres	Current Owner(s), Parcel Address, Mail Address	No. D.U.	No. Resident Registered Voters	S *	O *	NR *
1.	050-530-020	2.01	Sierra Pacific Industries 19794 Riverside Ave PO Box 496028 Redding, CA 96049-6028	0	0	x		
2.								
3.					70.000			

, ,	"				
	4.				
	5.				
	6.				
	7.				
	8.				

^{*} DU = Dwelling Units; S= Support; O=Opposed; NR=No Response

19. 100% Consent Applications; Request Waiver of Public Hearing: Pursuant to §56663, proceedings before LAFCO may be conducted without a public hearing, and Conducting Authority proceedings may be waived, provided (a) the applying agency's resolution of application requests a waiver, and (b) provided the resolution is accompanied by proof that all of the owners of land within the affected territory have given their written consent to the proposed change of organization or reorganization. The following is also to be completed and signed if the agency's resolution requests this waiver:

It is hereby certified that the signatures shown on the attached petitions and/or letters represent 100% of the owners of the territory included in the proposal presented in this application. It is further certified that these landowners consent to the proposal presented in this application.

Signature: Kell Kush	
Print Name/Title: Jeff Kiser / City Manager	City of Anderson Agency:

20. Executive Officer's Report/Notice of Hearing: Print or type the name and addresses of three officers or persons *in addition to the chief petitioners and/or clerk of each affected agency* who are to receive copies of the Executive Officer's Report and the notice of the public hearing:

Susie Baugh, Mayor, 1887 Howard Street, Anderson, CA 96007
Baron Browning, Vice Mayor, 1887 Howard Street, Anderson, CA 96007
Mike Gallagher, Council Member, 1887 Howard Street, Anderson, CA 96007

Jeff Kiser, City Manager, 1887 Howard Street, Anderson, CA 96007

21. Certification, Indemnification, Signature:

- 1. In signing this document, it is hereby certified, that the statements made in this application and the attachments to this application are to the best of my knowledge complete and accurate.
- 2. The undersigned, as Applicant (agency and/or property owner), agrees to indemnify, save harmless, and reimburse LAFCO for all reasonable expenses and attorney fees in connection with the defense of LAFCO and for any damages, penalties, fines or other costs imposed upon or incurred by LAFCO should LAFCO be named as a party in any litigation or administrative proceeding in connection with the application, whether the application is maintained or withdrawn. Applicant further agrees that LAFCO shall have the right to appoint its own counsel to defend it and conduct its own defense in the matter it deems in its best interest, and that LAFCO's taking such actions shall not limit Applicant's obligations to indemnify and reimburse defense costs or relieve Applicant of such obligations.

11/1/5	
Signature: Kush	Phone: 530.378.6650
Print Name/Little: Jeff Kiser / City Manager	e-mail: jkiser@ci.anderson.ca.u
Agency: City of Anderson	Date:

Resolution No. 20-42

A RESOLUTION OF APPLICATION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ANDERSON REQUESTING THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION INITIATE PROCEEDINGS FOR A SERVICE EXTENSION

WHEREAS, the City of Anderson (herein referred to as "City") is authorized to provide municipal services pursuant to Title 13 – "Water and Sewer" of the Anderson Municipal Code; and

WHEREAS, the City of Anderson has received a request from Shasta County Department of Public Works, the intended Property Owner of a planned new parcel, to extend water services to provide adequate water for fire protection and domestic use for the property located on a portion of Shasta County Assessor Parcel Number 050-530-020, in Anderson, CA; as reflected on the attached Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the Property Owner intends to construct and operate a County fire station that will be staffed by CalFire; and

WHEREAS, the City has studied options for providing municipal services and concluded that a limited services extension outside City boundaries and inside the General Plan Sphere of Influence is feasible and appropriate at this time; and

WHEREAS, the services would be limited to water service only; and

WHEREAS, per Section 56133 of the Cortese Knox Herzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, a City may propose a services extension outside City boundaries and inside the Sphere of Influence to the LAFCO Commission; and

WHEREAS, per Section 56133 (b) of the Cortese Knox Herzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000), LAFCO may authorize a city or district to provide new or extended services outside its jurisdictional boundary but within its Sphere of Influence in anticipation of a later change of organization; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered the specific facts associated with the request for service extension, and subject to the required execution of an agreement for services and future annexation, finds that such extension would serve in protecting the health, safety and general welfare of the residents of the City of Anderson.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council as follows:

1. The City Council hereby requests that the Shasta LAFCO initiate proceedings to consider a services extension outside City of Anderson jurisdictional boundaries and inside the Sphere of Influence to provide fire-flow and domestic water service to the property as requested by the Property Owner.

- 2. The City Council is serving as Lead Agency for this proposal pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). LAFCO is a Responsible Agency. The City Council finds that the proposal is <u>Categorically Exempt</u> from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15303 Class 3 (d) "New Construction Or Conversion Of Small Structures", which exempts construction and location of limited numbers of new, small facilities or structures including water main, sewage, electrical, gas, and other utility extensions.
- 3. The City staff is authorized and directed to prepare a plan for services in consultation with the property owner, to demonstrate that the proposed services can be extended without diminished services within the City boundary.
- 4. The City staff is authorized and directed to take all actions consistent with this resolution to assist in processing the services extension request with Shasta LAFCO.
- 5. The City Manager is authorized and directed to take all actions consistent with this resolution to negotiate and approve an agreement for the services extension with the County of Shasta.
- 6. That such extension of services is limited in scope, and any revision to the nature and purpose of the Property Owners request or use of the property or of City services shall require the separate approval of the City Council.

The foregoing resolution was introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Anderson held on October 6, 2020, and was adopted by the City Council of the City of Anderson by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmember Baugh, Browning, Neutze and Mayor Hunt.

NOES:

ABSENT: Councilmember Gallagher.

ABSTAIN:

Melissa Hunt, Mayor of the City of Anderson

ATTEST:

Christy White, Deputy City Clerk